

GAJ.

THE AUSTRALIAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

GAZETTE

Volume 30 Number 5 November 2003

253	Editorial	
254	President's Column	
255	A simple functional equation.....	C.S. Davis
258	The dependence of δ on ϵ and x	B.P. Phadke
261	Lebesgue through Newton integral	J.J. Koliha
265	Another Catalan avatar	P. Schultz
267	Russian peasant multiplication	G.J. Tee
277	Garry Tee DSc	
278	The man behind the medal	M. E. Barnes
284	Société Mathématique de France	
287	Nominations for ANZIAM Executive	
288	J.H. Michel and ANZIAM medals for 2004	
289	QANZIAM 2003 Miniconference	
290	Prizes of the Mathematical Society of Japan	
292	Book Reviews by	
		P.G. Brown, K. Matthews, S.D. Sciffer, R.P. Araujo, F. Barrington, J.R. Giles and J. Wright
305	Visiting Mathematicians	
306	News from the Universities	
307	Notices of Preprints	
309	Conferences	
315	Higher degrees and honours bachelor degrees 2002	P. Johnston

ISSN 0311-0729

Registered by Australia Post
Publication No. QBQ3508

RUSSIAN PEASANT MULTIPLICATION AND EGYPTIAN DIVISION IN ZECKENDORF ARITHMETIC

Garry J. Tee

Department of Mathematics, University of Auckland, New Zealand

e-mail: tee@math.auckland.ac.nz

Abstract

Each positive integer can be represented uniquely as a Zeckendorf numeral, as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers. Complete algorithms are presented for performing arithmetic upon Zeckendorf numerals

1. Introduction

Edouard Zeckendorf (1901-1983) was a Belgian amateur mathematician ([11], p.144). He invented [15] the representation of natural numbers as sums of distinct and non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers, and he showed that each natural number has unique representation if F_2 is used to represent 1, rather than F_1 (which also equals 1). Each natural number can be represented as a Zeckendorf numeral which can be encoded as a stream of bits with index starting at 2, for example, $27 = F_3 + F_5 + F_8$ can be encoded (with index increasing to the right) as 0101001. In data transmission the most significant 1 can be followed by 1 (since 11 never occurs within a Zeckendorf numeral) to indicate the end of a number, so that 27 would get transmitted as the self-limiting bit-string 01010011. This variable-length representation of natural numbers has been investigated as a potential method for compression of data in transmission [1] [7].

In Zeckendorf arithmetic, addition by 1 is more complicated than in binary arithmetic, as was explained by Graham, Knuth & Patashnik ([9], pp. 282-283). Addition, subtraction and multiplication were discussed by Freitag & Phillips [8]; and Peter Fenwick has recently given the first treatment of all 4 basic arithmetic operations in Zeckendorf arithmetic [6], which he has tested with computer programs. Fenwick comments that "this arithmetic is unlikely to remain more than a curiosity" [6], since it is much more complex than standard binary arithmetic and the Zeckendorf numerals are bulkier than standard binary numerals.

Another set of algorithms for Zeckendorf arithmetic has been implemented by the author, as a set of procedures in THINK Pascal. These Pascal procedures, with a set of programs for testing them, are available from the author by e-mail request.

2. Ordering Zeckendorf Numerals

To test $x = y$, just check whether all corresponding Zeckendorf coefficients in x and y are equal. To test $x > 0$, just check whether the bit-stream contains any 1.

To add 1 to a Zeckendorf numeral ([9], pp. 282-283), if its bit-stream starts with 0 then convert that to 1 (with $F_2 = 1$); but if it starts with 10 then convert that to 01 (with $F_3 = 2$). If the 1 which has been inserted is followed by 10, then that 110 must be standardized to 001 (by the 3-term recurrence relation for Fibonacci numbers); and that standardization must be

repeated if necessary, that is, any bit-sequence 11010...10100 (starting at any positive index) must be converted to 00000...00010. The representation of any standard Zeckendorf numeral is unique. Thus, adding 1 to a k -digit Zeckendorf numeral can require $O(k)$ elementary operations (of counting and Boolean operations). That is similar to adding 1 in k -bit binary arithmetic, where the carry can propagate through up to $k - 1$ consecutive unit bits.

If a Zeckendorf numeral μ has its most significant 1 at index i then that is unchanged by adding 1, unless $\mu + 1 = F_{i+1}$, in which case the index increases by 1. Every natural number $\lambda > \mu$ can be produced from μ by repeated additions by 1, in each of which the highest index either remains constant or else increases by 1. Hence, the highest index for λ is greater than or equal to the highest index for μ . Therefore $\mu < \lambda$ if and only if, in their Zeckendorf representations with coefficients 0 or 1,

$$\lambda = \sum_{i \geq 2} Y_i F_i, \quad \mu = \sum_{i \geq 2} Z_i F_i, \quad (1)$$

$Y_i = Z_i$ for all $i > h$ for some $h \geq 2$, but $Y_h = 1$ and $Z_h = 0$. Thus, a simple program can be written for a Boolean function $\text{less}(x, y)$ which yields true if $x < y$ but otherwise yields false, doing a single downward scan through the bit-streams of Zeckendorf coefficients for x and y . From that function, other simple functions can be written to test $x > y$, $x \geq y$ and $x \leq y$:

$$\text{greater} := \text{less}(y, x), \quad \text{ge} := \text{not less}(x, y), \quad \text{le} := \text{ge}(y, x).$$

3. Addition and Subtraction

3.1 Addition

In the Pascal procedure for addition of y into x , for each digit 1 at index i in y add F_i into x . When a digit 0 (at index i in x) gets 1 added into it, if that new 1 is followed by 10 then standardization must be applied from index i upwards; but otherwise, if the new bit-stream from index $i - 1$ is 110, then standardization must be applied from index $i - 1$ upwards. If 1 gets added to 1 at index i , then $2F_i$ must be replaced by $F_{i+1} + F_{i-2}$. To add in $F_{i+1} - 2F_i$, the bit-stream 010 from index $i - 1$ must be converted to 001, and if that is followed by 1 then standardization must be applied from index $i + 1$, costing $O(k)$ elementary operations. And to add in F_{i-2} , then this process must be applied recursively, with $O(k)$ stages. Thus, even increasing a single Zeckendorf coefficient by 1 costs $O(k^2)$ elementary operations; and hence adding a pair of k -digit Zeckendorf numerals by the Pascal procedure costs $O(k^3)$ elementary operations!

3.2 Subtraction

Zeckendorf subtraction by 1 is very simple. Consider $\mu = F_a + F_b + \dots + F_x$, where the subscripts are in strictly increasing order. If $a = 2$ then just delete F_2 . With $a > 2$ then $\mu - 1 = (F_a - 1) + F_b + \dots + F_x$. From the 3-term recurrence formula,

$$\begin{aligned} F_a &= F_{a-2} + F_{a-1} = F_{a-4} + F_{a-3} + F_{a-1} \\ &= F_{a-6} + F_{a-5} + F_{a-3} + F_{a-1} = \dots \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_2 + F_3 + F_5 \text{ (for even } a) \\ F_1 + F_2 + F_4 \text{ (for odd } a) \end{array} \right\} + \dots + F_{a-5} + F_{a-3} + F_{a-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and hence

$$F_a - 1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_3 + F_5 \text{ (for even } a) \\ F_2 + F_4 \text{ (for odd } a) \end{array} \right\} + \dots + F_{a-5} + F_{a-3} + F_{a-1}. \quad (3)$$

This produces $\mu - 1$ in standard Zeckendorf form, with no consecutive Fibonacci numbers.

Consider the subtraction of Zeckendorf numerals $x - y$, where $x \geq y$, with k digits in x . For each digit 1 at index i in y , we need to subtract F_i from x . When a digit 1 (at index i in the current value of x) gets 1 subtracted from it then that digit in x is replaced by 0. But if the digit at index i of x is 0 and 1 is to be subtracted from it, then scan the bit-stream from index $i + 1$ upwards, to find the 1 with least index $h > i$. As in (2),

$$F_h = F_{h-2j} + F_{h-2j+1} + F_{h-2j+3} + \dots + F_{h-3} + F_{h-1}. \quad (4)$$

Hence, when $h - i$ is even then

$$F_h - F_i = F_{i+1} + F_{i+3} + \dots + F_{h-5} + F_{h-3} + F_{h-1}, \quad (5)$$

but if $h - i$ is odd then

$$F_h - F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i+2} + \dots + F_{h-5} + F_{h-3} + F_{h-1}. \quad (6)$$

Thus, in subtracting F_i from x , if $h - i$ is even then it can be done by (5) at the cost of $O(k)$ elementary operations. But if $h - i$ is odd then it can be done by (6), which also adds in F_{i-1} , at a cost $O(k^2)$.

Hence, subtracting a pair of k -digit Zeckendorf numerals by the Pascal procedure costs $O(k^3)$ elementary operations.

4. Russian Peasant Multiplication

Before the Russian Revolution in 1917, some visitors to Russia were surprised to find that many illiterate Russian peasants were able to multiply quite large numbers mentally. The following algorithm (in Pascal) computes $z = xn$, where n is any non-negative integer and x is any number.

```

if odd(n) then s:= x else s:= 0;  n:= n div 2;
while n>0 do
begin x:= x+x;  if odd(n) then s:= s + x;  n:= n div 2
end;  z:= s

```

This algorithm can be interpreted as generating successively the digits in the base-2 representation of n . After k executions of either if-statement ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) the current value of x is 2^k times its initial value, and the current value of n is the quotient when the initial value has been divided by 2^k . That current value of n is odd, if and only if 2^k has coefficient 1 in the binary expansion of the initial value of n ; and hence the sum is to be increased by the current value of x if and only if the Boolean function $\text{odd}(n)$ yields true. The number of additions into s is less than or equal to the number of doublings of x , which is $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$.

This Russian Peasant Multiplication algorithm is independent of any written numerals, and it is independent of the base (if any) which might be used in the spoken numerals. The user

needs only to be able to decide whether n is odd (and whether $n = 0$), to halve n (discarding remainder 1 when n is odd), and to add x into a number.

Less systematic versions of Russian Peasant Multiplication (without halving) were used in Ancient Egypt for multiplication and division ([5], pp. 14–20). In Ancient India, powers x^n were computed by an algorithm very similar to Russian Peasant Multiplication ([4], p.76), with addition being replaced by multiplication and the initial value 0 being replaced by 1. The RSA cryptographic algorithm requires efficient computation of $x^n \bmod m$ for very large integers x , n , m . That has been done [13] by a version of the Ancient Indian method, using the operation of multiplication ($\bmod m$). More generally, the number x and the operator $+$ can be replaced by elements of any monoid with associative operator \otimes , with 0 being replaced by the neutral element for \otimes , to apply $\otimes(n-1)$ times to x ([10] vol. 2, p.399). For example, x could be a polynomial whose coefficients are scalars or are square matrices (integer, real, complex or quaternion), and \otimes could be the operation of multiplication of polynomials, to compute the n th power of the polynomial x .

If x and n are represented in binary notation, then Russian Peasant Multiplication is closely similar to the standard algorithm for multiplication in binary arithmetic. In binary arithmetic the doublings of x are done by shifting all binary digits up one place, and the binary digits of n are operated on directly.

4.1 Multiplication in Zeckendorf Arithmetic

The existing algorithms for Zeckendorf multiplication [6] [8] involve intricate operations upon the Zeckendorf coefficients. But Zeckendorf numerals can be multiplied more simply by Russian Peasant Multiplication, using the existing Zeckendorf algorithms (in [6], or the Pascal procedure) for addition of x . If the factor n is represented as a standard integer in Pascal (or in any similar programming language), then all operations on n can be done by standard integer operations.

4.1.1. Parity of Zeckendorf Numerals

In order to determine whether n is odd, consider the expansion

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^k Z_i F_i \quad (Z_i = 0, 1), \quad (7)$$

for a suitable upper limit k , where $Z_1 = 0$ in a standard Zeckendorf numeral.

Since $F_0 = 0$ which is even, and both F_1 and F_2 equal 1 which is odd, then it follows by induction on the defining 3-term recurrence relation that F_i is even if and only if $i = 3h$ for some integer h . Therefore, replacing each coefficient Z_{3h} in (1) by 0 would not alter the parity of the sum of Fibonacci numbers. Thus the parity of n equals the parity of $\sum_{h \geq 0} (Z_{3h+1} F_{3h+1} + Z_{3h+2} F_{3h+2})$, where both F_{3h+1} and F_{3h+2} are odd. Operating $\bmod 2$, this becomes

$$n \equiv \sum_{h \geq 0} (Z_{3h+1} + Z_{3h+2}) \pmod{2}. \quad (8)$$

For each h , the term in parentheses will equal 1 if $Z_{3h+1} \neq Z_{3h+2}$, but otherwise that sum is even. Thus, the Boolean value of $\text{odd}(n)$ can be computed as follows, with the Zeckendorf

coefficients represented by a Boolean array z . If n is zero then $odd := false$, but for positive n apply the algorithm:

```

odd := false;  i := 0;
repeat  if  $z[i+1] < z[i+2]$  then  $odd := not\ odd$ ;  i := i+3
until  $i \geq k$ .

```

Determining the parity of a k -digit Zeckendorf numeral by this algorithm costs $O(k)$ elementary operations.

4.1.2. Halving nonstandard Zeckendorf Numerals

In order to halve n , it is convenient to work with nonstandard Zeckendorf numerals in which consecutive Fibonacci numbers can appear, so that the bit-stream can be any pattern of 0 and 1; and also F_1 is accepted, so that the index in the bit-stream starts with 1 rather than 2. The algorithm for evaluating $odd(n)$ works for such nonstandard Zeckendorf numerals, since if $z_{3k+1} = z_{3k+2} = 1$ then $z_{3k+1} + z_{3k+2} = 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. During the operation of the algorithm for halving n , the coefficients Z_i can take the values 0, 1, 2 or 3; but at the end of the algorithm the coefficients of the new n are all 0 or 1, so that the new n can be represented by the Boolean array z . The index for nonstandard Zeckendorf starts at 1, since otherwise we could get $Z_2 = 2$ during the halving.

In order to halve n set j as the index of the highest 1 in n , and construct an array c of integer coefficients of Fibonacci numbers:

```

 $c[0] := 0$ ;  for  $i := 1$  to  $j$  do if  $z[i]$  then  $c[i] := 1$  else  $c[i] := 0$ .

```

Transform the coefficients $c[i]$ so that each becomes 0 or 2, except that $c[1]$ becomes 1 if n is odd. To do that transformation, for i from j down to 2, if $c[i]$ is odd then F_i or $3F_i$ occurs in the sum represented by the current array c . In that case, replace F_i (once) by $F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$, so that $c[i]$ gets reduced to 0 or 2 and both $c[i-1]$ and $c[i-2]$ get increased by 1. Construct the coefficients for the new value of n by halving each coefficient $c[i]$ (for $i > 1$). Do not actually subtract 1 from $c[i]$ and do not perform any arithmetic division. Rather, in terms of the Boolean array z :

```

for  $i := k$  downto 2 do  $z[i] := c[i] > 1$ .

```

Halving a k -digit Zeckendorf numeral by this algorithm costs $O(k)$ elementary operations.

This halving algorithm operates on nonstandard Zeckendorf numerals, and so the cycle of halvings in Russian Peasant Multiplication can be performed in this manner, without needing to standardize the output.

In this manner, all of the operations in Russian Peasant Multiplication on the Zeckendorf numerals for x and n have been implemented in Pascal, in terms of addition of small integers and Boolean operations.

4.2 Standardizing nonstandard Zeckendorf Numerals

If the nonstandard output of the procedure for halving is to be operated on by other procedures, then it must be converted to standard Zeckendorf form. That standardization can be done by a Pascal procedure, which scans the bit-stream with index i decreasing to 1. Each time that 11 is encountered at indices i and $i+1$ then it must be standardized from index i upward, costing

$O(k)$ elementary operations, as in §3.1. After standardizing down to $i = 1$, if the bit-stream starts with 10 then that must be replaced by 01 (since $F_1 = F_2 = 1$), and if the next bit is 1 then one more standardization must be applied, from index 2 upwards. Thus, standardization costs $O(k^2)$ elementary operations.

5. Ancient Egyptian Division

For non-negative integer numerator n and positive integer denominator d , the operation of integer division produces the unique quotient q and remainder r such that $n = qd + r$, with $q \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r < d$. The existing division algorithm [6] requires intricate operations upon the Zeckendorf coefficients. But it is simpler to use a systematic version of an ancient Egyptian method for division, as in Problem 25 of the Rhind Mathematical Papyrus ([5], p.16).

Construct (once only) an array p with $p_i = 2^i$: set $i = 0$ and $p_i = 1$, and then repeat $i := i + 1$; $p_i := p_{i-1} + p_{i-1}$ until p_i is large enough for your purposes. That array can then be used in any division of n by d by the following algorithm, which constructs (by repeated addition) an array t with $t_i = 2^i d$. The quotient q (initially set at 0) effectively gets each 1 in its binary representation inserted in decreasing place-value, from the array p .

```

r := n ; q := 0 ; i := 0 ; t[i] := d ;
while t[i] < r do begin i := i + 1 ; t[i] := t[i-1] + t[i-1]
  { t[i] = 2^i d } end ; { t[i] ≥ r > t[i-1] }
repeat while r < t[i] do i := i - 1 ; { Now, t[i+1] > r ≥ t[i] }
  q := q + p[i] ; r := r - t[i]
  { Puts q_i = 1, in its binary expansion }
until r < d .

```

Then q is the quotient and r is the remainder.

If $n < d$ then $q = 0$ and $r = d$. But, for $n \geq d$, the number of subtractions from r equals the number of additions into q , which is less than or equal to the number of doublings of d ; and that equals $\lceil \log_2 q \rceil$.

If the numbers are represented in binary notation, then the Egyptian algorithm is closely equivalent to the standard binary arithmetic algorithm for division. In binary arithmetic the doublings of t are done by shifting all digits up one place, and each digit 1 in t gets inserted directly, without needing any table of powers of 2.

5.1 Division in Zeckendorf Arithmetic

In Zeckendorf arithmetic, the additions and subtractions involving p , q , r and t can be done by the existing algorithms (in [6], or the Pascal procedures), and a simple program (as in §2) can test for $r < d$. In this manner, the Ancient Egyptian method for division has been implemented in Pascal.

6. Signed Zeckendorf Arithmetic

For negative numbers in Zeckendorf form, Fenwick proposed a form of complementing, generalized from binary arithmetic with 2s-complement. But that representation of negative numbers proves to be rather cumbersome [6].

Accordingly, it is convenient to generalize the unsigned Zeckendorf numerals by using sign and magnitude representation. Extend the bit-stream for z to start at index 0, with $z[0] = \text{false}$ for $z \geq 0$ but true for $z < 0$, so that signed Zeckendorf zero has all elements false, from index 0 up. Nonstandard Zeckendorf numerals use $z[1]$ for F_1 , but $z[1]$ is not used in unsigned standard Zeckendorf numerals. If z does have a sign at index 0, the bit-stream from index 2 up gives $|z|$ in unsigned Zeckendorf form.

To add signed Zeckendorf numerals $a + b = c$, if a and b have the same signs set $c = |a| + |b|$, then give c the sign of a . If a and b have different signs; then if $|a| \geq |b|$ set $c = |a| - |b|$ and then give c the sign of a , but otherwise set $c = |b| - |a|$ and then give c the sign of b . To subtract signed Zeckendorf numerals $c = a - b$, if $b = 0$ then $c = a$, but otherwise reverse the sign of b and then add $a + (-b)$.

To multiply signed Zeckendorf numerals $ab = c$, set $c = |a| \times |b|$, and then fix the sign by $c[0] := a[0] < > b[0]$. Then, if unsigned $c = 0$, set the sign as non-negative: $c[0] := \text{false}$. Signed division could be handled in a similar manner.

7. Complexity of Zeckendorf Arithmetic

For numbers written in any integer base $\beta > 1$, addition or subtraction of a pair of m -digit numbers costs $O(m)$ elementary operations on digits $0, 1, \dots, \beta-1$, and the standard algorithms for multiplication and for division cost $O(m^2)$ elementary operations.

7.1 Daniel Bernoulli's formula for Fibonacci numbers

Daniel Bernoulli the 1st (1700–1782) published in 1732 an important paper in which (amongst much else) he published ([3], p.52) the explicit formula for F_x , as:

$$\left[\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^x - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^x \right] : \sqrt{5} . \quad (9)$$

(He used the colon as the division symbol.)

Daniel Bernoulli's formula for the Fibonacci numbers has often been mis-named (for example, [8] and [9], p.285) after Binet, who published it 111 years after Daniel Bernoulli did [14].

In terms of the golden ratio $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, Daniel Bernoulli's formula can be rewritten as

$$F_i = (\phi^i - (1 - \phi)^i) / \sqrt{5} . \quad (10)$$

7.1.1. Golden Numbers

Numbers can be represented in the base ϕ , with digits 0 and 1. Positive integers are represented exactly with a finite number of digits after the point; for example, $2 = 10.01$ and $5 = 1000.1001$ [2]¹ ([9] vol.1, 1.2.8, Ex. 35).

¹George Bergman was 12 years when he wrote that paper

Denote the Zeckendorf numeral for z as

$$z = \sum_{i=2}^m Z_i F_i. \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=2}^m Z_i (\phi^i - (1-\phi)^i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=2}^m Z_i \phi^i - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=2}^m Z_i (1-\phi)^i = \frac{\chi(z)}{\sqrt{5}} - \rho(z). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here, $\chi(z)$ is the base- ϕ number whose coefficients equal the corresponding Zeckendorf coefficients of z , and

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=2}^m Z_i (1-\phi)^i. \quad (13)$$

The real number $\chi(z)/\sqrt{5}$ could be called the *Golden Number* for the positive integer z .

For all m -digit Zeckendorf numerals, the maximum modulus of $\rho(z)$ is given by $z = F_{m+1} - 1$, whose Zeckendorf coefficients are given by (3).

If m is even then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_{m+1} - 1) &= [(1-\phi)^2 + (1-\phi)^4 + \cdots + (1-\phi)^m] / \sqrt{5} \\ &< \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{\sqrt{5}(1-(1-\phi)^2)} = \frac{1-2\phi+\phi^2}{\sqrt{5}(2\phi-\phi^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $\phi^2 = \phi + 1$, this inequality reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) &< \frac{2-\phi}{\sqrt{5}(\phi-1)} = \frac{2\phi-\phi^2}{\sqrt{5}(\phi^2-\phi)} = \frac{\phi-1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{5}(\sqrt{5}-1)}{10} = \frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{10} < 0.3. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Similarly, if m is odd then

$$\rho(F_{m+1} - 1) = [(1-\phi)^3 + (1-\phi)^5 + \cdots + (1-\phi)^m] / \sqrt{5}, \quad (16)$$

so that

$$-\rho(z) < \frac{(\phi-1)(1-\phi)^2}{\sqrt{5}(1-(1-\phi)^2)} = \frac{(\phi-1)(5-\sqrt{5})}{10} < 0.2. \quad (17)$$

Hence, every natural number z equals the Golden Number $\chi(z)/\sqrt{5}$, rounded to the nearest integer.

7.2 Comparison of Zeckendorf Arithmetic with binary arithmetic

For numbers written in any integer base $\beta > 1$, the largest m -digit number is $\beta^m - 1$. For numbers written in some other base δ , $\delta^p = \beta^m$, where $p = m(\log \beta / \log \delta)$. Hence, conversion of an m -digit integer in base β to base δ requires $O(m)$ digits in base δ . Hence, the Zeckendorf representation of an m -digit number in base β requires approximately $m(\log \beta / \log \phi)$ digits in Zeckendorf representation. For example, an m -bit binary number requires approximately $\lceil 1.46m \rceil + 2$ digits in Zeckendorf representation.

Conversion of a k -digit Zeckendorf numeral to standard binary form (or conversely) costs $O(k)$ additions or subtractions of binary numbers with $O(k)$ bits, or $O(k^2)$ elementary operations. Hence, if a pair of Zeckendorf numerals were converted to binary form, then addition or subtraction performed in binary arithmetic would cost $O(k)$ elementary operations, and multiplication or division would cost $O(k^2)$. If the result of the binary arithmetic were converted to Zeckendorf form, then the cost for each basic arithmetic operation on Zeckendorf numerals (via binary arithmetic) would be $O(k^2)$ elementary operations. But the Pascal procedures for addition and subtraction each cost $O(k^3)$ elementary operations. In Russian Peasant Multiplication the number of additions is $O(k)$, and in Egyptian division the number of additions or subtractions is $O(k)$; and hence multiplication or division of k -digit Zeckendorf numerals costs $O(k^4)$ elementary operations.

However, arithmetic operations on large binary integers (beyond the domain of arithmetic hardware) usually are done by software, which multiplies by a large factor the time required for elementary operations on the digits. Consequently, the actual ratio of cost of Zeckendorf addition or subtraction to cost of binary operations might be much smaller than this simple counting of elementary operations on digits might suggest.

If algorithms for addition or subtraction of Zeckendorf numerals could be devised with cost of lower order (for example, $O(k \log k)$ elementary operations) then there would be no need to consider conversion to and from binary numerals for doing arithmetic on Zeckendorf numerals.

7.2.1. Fibonacci p -codes

Alexey P. Stakhov has proposed [12, pp.634–636]² a generalization of Zeckendorf numerals to “Fibonacci p -codes” for representing integers.

I wish to thank Peter Fenwick, for introducing me to the concept of Zeckendorf arithmetic.

References

- [1] A. Apostolico A. & A. S. Fraenkel, *Robust transmission of unbounded strings using Fibonacci representations*, IEEE Trans.on Inf. Th. IT-33 (1987), 238–245, (cited from [6]).
- [2] George Bergman, *A number system with an irrational base*, Mathematics Magazine 31 (1957), 98–110.

²The many misprints and dubious statements in this paper make it very difficult to read.

- [3] Daniel Bernoulli, *Observationes de seriebus quae formantur ex additione vel subtractione quacunque terminorum se mutuo consequentium, ubi praesertim earundem insignis usus pro inveniendis radicibus omnium aequationum algebraicarum ostenditur*, Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae t.3 (1732 for 1728), 85–100. Reprinted in: *Die Werke von Daniel Bernoulli Band 2* (edited by David Spieser), Birkhäuser Verlag, (1982), 49–64.
- [4] Bibhutibhusan Datta & A. N. Singh, *History of Hindu Mathematics*, vol. 1, Motilal Banarsidass, Lahore, 1935.
- [5] John Fauvel & Jeremy Gray, *The History of Mathematics — a Reader*, Macmillan, 1987.
- [6] Peter Fenwick, *Zeckendorf integer arithmetic*, The Fibonacci Quarterly (to appear).
- [7] A. S. Fraenkel & S. T. Klein, *Robust universal complete codes for transmission and compression*, Discrete Applied Mathematics 64 (1996), 31–55 (cited from [6]).
- [8] H. T. Freitag & George M. Phillips, *On the Zeckendorf form of F_{kn}/F_n* , The Fibonacci Quarterly 34 (1996), 444–446.
- [9] Ronald L. Graham, Donald E. Knuth & Oren Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [10] Donald E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Addison-Wesley, vol. 1, 1968, vol. 2 1969.
- [11] George M. Phillips, *Two Millenia of Mathematics, from Archimedes to Gauss*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [12] Alexey P. Stakhov, *The Golden Section in the measurement theory*, Computers Math. Applic. 17 (1989), 613–638.
- [13] Garry J. Tee, *The perfect cryptographic method?*, in: *Directions For the Future: Communication. Papers given during the 49th ANZAAS Congress, University of Auckland January 1979* (ed. by Mari Davis), Trans Knowledge Associates, Melbourne, 1979, 24–28.
- [14] Garry J. Tee, *Integer sums of recurring series*, New Zealand J. Math. 22 (1993), 85–100.
- [15] Edouard Zeckendorf, *Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou les nombres de Lucas*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 41 (1972), 179–182.

MathMedia

Newsreader on Channel 3 (NBN) News, 6:00 p.m. 3 September 2003: There is a one-million to one chance that in 2014, an asteroid heading this way will collide with earth. The second newsreader interjected: There is a greater chance that you will win Lotto!

GARRY TEE D.Sc

In August 2003, Garry Tee was awarded an honorary doctorate of science from Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand.

The following is an extract from a tribute to Garry Tee written by Prof Graeme Wake and Prof Les Woods.

Garry Tee's many friends will be very pleased that his singular talents have been recognized by the Auckland University of Technology. Besides his research work in numerical analysis, he has made many important contributions to the history of science, particularly of mathematics and computing.

Garry has, almost single-handedly, pioneered the study of scientists in and from New Zealand. One of Garry's early historical pieces is a well-researched account of the distinguished mathematician A.C. Aitken, who hailed from New Zealand, but spent much of his career in Edinburgh. A characteristic contribution is his article in *Auckland Minds* on the mathematician H.G. Forder whose generous bequest supports the London Mathematical Society's Forder Lecturer on tour in New Zealand. Other eminent subjects on whom Garry has written with considerable erudition are Earnest Rutherford, Leslie John Comrie, and Vaughan F.R. Jones.

Garry was born in 1932 at Wanganui and at the age of 11 attended Seddon Memorial Technical College (SMTTC) in Auckland to undertake an industrial science course. SMTTC was a trade school that slowly evolved into a Technical Institute, then into the Auckland Institute of Technology, finally in 2001, becoming the Auckland University of Technology. So, appropriately enough for someone with interests in history, Garry Tee is amongst the first to be honoured by this new University.

After graduating from Auckland University College (it became a full University in the 1950s), Garry's first employment was in computing with an oil exploration team in northwest Australia. In 1958, sensing that electronic digital computers were poised to assume increasing importance, Garry moved to work for the English Electric Company in the UK. Six years experience there proved good grounding to becoming a foundation member of the Department of Mathematics at the University of Lancaster. After a decade in the UK, he returned to Auckland in 1968, joining the University's Department of Mathematics, where he attained emeritus status in 1999, having also been a founder member of the Department of Computer Science. The New Zealand Mathematical Society conferred honorary life membership on him in 1998 in recognition of his outstanding services to mathematics in New Zealand and to the Society. He was quick to become a Fellow of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications in keeping with his sense of a professional community, of which truly he is himself a servant and ornament.

With all his friends – and with the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications – we salute Garry Tee, as a most worthy and deserving recipient of the Honorary Doctorate of Science from the Auckland University of Technology; and we warmly congratulate the Council of the University in making an award that will give so much satisfaction and pleasure in the way it recognizes the professional values epitomised by Garry Tee.