

















THEOREM OF THE DAY



The Marriage Theorem In a set of n women each specifies a list of the men she is prepared to marry, as a subset, W_i , of a set of n men, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Assuming that any man will accept any offer of marriage then there is a monogamous espousal matching woman i with a man from W_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, if and only if every subset X of women like a combined total of at least $|X|$ men.

The Frobenius–Kőnig Theorem An $n \times n$ (0-1)-matrix contains a $n \times n$ permutation matrix among its non-zero entries if and only if no $r \times s$ submatrix of zeros has $r + s > n$.

	 α	 β	 γ	 δ	 ϵ	 ζ	 η
 a	♥		♥			♥	♥
 b	♥			♥			♥
 c			♥				♥
 d		♥		♥	♥		♥
 e	♥						♥
 f	♥		♥			♥	
 g	♥		♥			♥	♥

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 1 & \textcircled{1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & \textcircled{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \textcircled{1} & 0 & 1 \\ \textcircled{1} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 1 & \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hall's Marriage Theorem extends, more generally, to a theorem about finding a *transversal* for a (possibly uncountable) collection of finite subsets of a set: a representative from each subset with no two representatives the same.

A permutation matrix has a single 1 in each row and column, all other entries being zero. An obvious obstacle to this is when there is an $n \times 1$ zero submatrix, occupying one complete row. Certainly then $r + s = n + 1 > n$. It is not obvious that extending this to zero submatrices of all sizes covers every obstacle to extracting a permutation matrix. Here, and in the marriage attempt opposite, a 5×3 submatrix spoils things.

Two theorems for the price of one! And, in fact, these superficially different results, both minimizing an obstacle to achieve a maximum result, belong to a collection of essentially equivalent *minimax* theorems which were discovered independently, in this instance by Philip Hall in 1935, and by Georg Frobenius (1917) and Dénes Kőnig (1931), respectively.

Web link: robertborgersen.info/Presentations/GS-05R-1.pdf

Further reading: *Combinatorial Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity* by C. Papadimitriou and K. Steiglitz, Dover Publications, 2000.

