






# THEOREM OF THE DAY



**The Pólya–Redfield Enumeration Theorem** Denote by  $Z(G, \Omega; s_1, \dots, s_t)$  the cycle index of a permutation group  $G$  acting on a set  $\Omega$ . For a set of distinct invariants  $L = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , denote by  $x^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, t$ , the formal sum  $x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$ . Then the number of different ways in which  $\Omega$  may be labelled with elements of  $L$  up to symmetry under the action of  $G$  is enumerated by  $Z(G, \Omega; x, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(t)})$ .



We construct the cycle index for  $\Omega_V$ , the set of vertices of the regular tetrahedron, and for  $\Omega_E$ , its set of edges. The group acting on these sets will be the rotational symmetries of the tetrahedron, which is the alternating group  $A_4$ . As an abstract group,  $A_4$  may be defined as multiplication of the twelve even permutations of  $\{1, \dots, 4\}$ :

$(1)(2)(3)(4), (1\ 2)(3\ 4), (1\ 3)(2\ 4), (1\ 4)(2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)(4), (1\ 3\ 2)(4), (1\ 2\ 4)(3), (1\ 4\ 2)(3), (1\ 3\ 4)(2), (1\ 4\ 3)(2), (1)(2\ 3\ 4), (1)(2\ 4\ 3),$

(‘even’ by virtue of their numbers of disjoint cycles having the same parity as the permuted set, given that 1-cycles are listed, as above). If the vertices are labelled  $1, \dots, 4$ , as above left, then our even permutations directly represent the rotational symmetries of the tetrahedron: for example,  $(1\ 2)(3\ 4)$  is a half-rotation about an axis through the midpoints of edges  $a$  and  $d$ . The cycle index encodes these symmetries in terms of their cycle lengths:  $s_i$  records cycles of length  $i$ , hence  $s_1^4$  records a permutation of four 1-cycles (i.e.  $(1)(2)(3)(4)$ );  $3s_2^2$  records three pairs of 2-cycles;  $8s_1s_3$  records eight permutations with a 1-cycle and a 3-cycle. And finally  $Z(A_4, \Omega_V; s_1, s_2, s_3)$  averages these over the whole group to give  $\frac{1}{12}(s_1^4 + 3s_2^2 + 8s_1s_3)$ . Now we may enumerate, say, in how many ways the vertices may be coloured red,  $r$ , and blue,  $b$ , up to symmetry:  $Z(A_4, \Omega_V; r + b, r^2 + b^2, r^3 + b^3) = b^4 + b^3r + b^2r^2 + br^3 + r^4$ . The coefficient of  $b^i r^j$  counts colourings with  $i$  blue vertices and  $j$  red ones: we see that the colouring of the tetrahedron above centre is unique, up to symmetry. When the tetrahedral symmetries act on edges instead of vertices the group is still  $A_4$  but the disjoint cycle structures have changed and this is reflected in a different cycle index (see above left again; this time 1-cycles are omitted for conciseness):

$1, (a\ d)(b\ e), (a\ d)(c\ f), (b\ e)(c\ f), (a\ b\ c)(d\ e\ f), (a\ b\ f)(c\ d\ e), (a\ c\ b)(d\ f\ e), (a\ c\ e)(b\ d\ f), (a\ e\ c)(b\ f\ d), (a\ f\ b)(c\ e\ d), (a\ f\ e)(b\ d\ c) \rightarrow \frac{1}{12}(s_1^6 + 3s_1^2s_2^2 + 8s_3^2)$ .

This time the calculation reveals that the half-red-half-blue colouring, above right, is one of four possibilities up to symmetry.

The 1937 rediscovery by George Pólya of this neglected 1927 result of J. Howard Redfield turned it into a classic of combinatorics.

**Web link:** [crypto.stanford.edu/pbc/notes/](http://crypto.stanford.edu/pbc/notes/); the Redfield story: [match.pmf.kg.ac.rs/content46.htm](http://match.pmf.kg.ac.rs/content46.htm), the **article** by E. Keith Lloyd.

**Further reading:** *Combinatorics: Ancient and Modern* by Robin J. Wilson and John J. Watkins, OUP, 2013, Chapter 12.

