

Question set for Chapter 2

1. This question studies derangements using the general- n version of Inclusion-Exclusion:

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right| = \sum_{k=1}^n \left((-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq [n] \\ |X|=k}} \left| \bigcap_{j \in X} A_j \right| \right). \quad (1)$$

- (a) Write this out in full for $n = 4$: i.e. $|A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4| = \dots$
- (b) Use your answer to (a) to find an approximate count of the number of primes less than 100 (see the example in Week 2 lecture notes).
- (c) Now for derangements: suppose A_i denotes #permutations of $[n]$ which do not move i .
- Give an expression in n for $|A_i|$.
 - Given an expression in n for $|A_1 \cap A_2|$.
 - Given an expression in n and $|X|$ for $\left| \bigcap_{i \in X} A_i \right|$, where $X \subseteq [n]$.
- (d) Apply Inclusion-Exclusion (equation 1) to get an expression for the number of permutations of $[n]$ which fix at least one element of $[n]$. (See the count of surjections in Week 2 lecture notes).
- (e) Use (d) to show that the proportion of permutations of $[n]$ that are derangements approaches $e^{-1} = 0.367879 \dots$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (Hint: use the series expansion $e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$).
2. We will use the Pigeon Hole Principle to show that a certain function is not 1 – 1 and this will prove:

Lemma (Sved–Vázsonyi)¹ Suppose we have a sequence of integers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$, (not necessarily distinct). Then there is some consecutive subsequence $a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+s}$ with the sum of its entries being divisible by n .

- Check this is true for the sequence 1, 3, 2, 3, 3, –1, 4 (with $n = 7$).
- For the sequence $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$, define the function $f : [n] \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ by $f(m) = a_1 + \dots + a_m \bmod n$.
 - Show that f is not 1 – 1 on the sequence in part (a).
 - Looking back to part (a), what do you notice about the values of m which are mapped by f to the same remainders?
 - Prove that, if f does not map any value to zero then it cannot be 1 – 1 (Pigeon Hole Principle!)
- You should now be able to write out a complete proof of the Lemma (tricky but good practice!)

¹The great Paul Erdős apparently attributed this lemma to Andrew Vázsonyi and Marta Sved. Sved wrote an interesting ‘novel’ (*Journey into Geometries*, MAA, 1997) in which she explains non-Euclidean geometry in the form of a follow-up to Lewis Carroll’s *Alice in Wonderland*; you can read about Vázsonyi here en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Andrew_Vázsonyi.