




# THEOREM OF THE DAY

**Quadratic Nonresidue is Zero-Knowledge Provable** *There exists a protocol whereby an oracle, given positive integers  $x < y$ ,  $y$  coprime to  $x$ , can respond Yes or No to the question “Is  $y$  a quadratic nonresidue mod  $x$ ?” and impart no other information that is not polynomial-time computable from  $x$  and  $y$ .*

To check if  $z^2 = y \pmod{x}$  for some  $z < x$ , that is, to check if  $y$  is a quadratic residue or a quadratic nonresidue, appears to be as hard as factoring  $x$ . The protocol described below and illustrated on the right is probabilistically polynomial for quadratic nonresidue. To simplify notation ‘quadratic nonresidue’ is replaced by ‘square root’.

## THE BASIC IDEA\*

Victor (the ‘verifier’) can compute squares but not square roots. The (mathematically) omniscient Peggy (the ‘prover’) will reveal whether or not  $y$  is a square number. Victor secretly chooses a random integer  $r$  and tosses a coin. If the coin is Heads he shows Peggy  $w = r^2$ ; if Tails he shows her  $w = r^2y$ . Now he asks Peggy: “Was my coin Heads or Tails?” If  $y$  is not square then Peggy answers infallibly, since  $w$  is a square if and only if the coin was Heads. However, if  $y$  is a square then Peggy cannot tell whether  $w$  incorporates  $y$  or not and will answer falsely with probability  $1/2$ . Now performing the exchange 20 times will reduce the chance of a consistently false answer to  $1/2^{20} \approx 1$  in a million.



## \*THE SMALL PRINT

What if Victor tries to exploit Peggy’s omniscience? The delicate exchange illustrated above forces Victor to prove he is adhering to the protocol. He produces a series of random pairs  $(r_1, r_2)$  and uses them to make ‘dummy’  $w$  pairs which he shows (the  $\pi$ ’s) to Peggy, with their order reversed when a tossed coin (coin2) is Tails. Peggy tosses a coin (coin3) and demands further information: **Tails:** she must see  $(r_1, r_2)$  and she confirms this pair by checking it contains the square root of one of the  $\pi$  entries; **Heads:** she must see  $r_1w/r$  and she confirms this by checking it is a square root of one of the entries of  $\pi \times w$ . These checks are enough to confirm that  $w$  is either  $r^2$  or  $r^2y$  but not enough to reveal which one.

Zero-knowledge provability was introduced by Shafi Goldwasser, Silvio Micali and Charles Rackoff in the mid-1980s. Their work was one of the catalysts for a decade of breakthrough results in computability culminating in the celebrated PCP Theorem. They shared the first Gödel Prize in 1993 with Lazlo Babai and Shlomo Moran.

**Web link:** [www.jbledin.com/papers](http://www.jbledin.com/papers) (click on ‘Challenging Epistemology’)

**Further reading:** *The Nature of Computation* by Christopher Moore and Stephan Mertens, Oxford University Press, 2011.

