

Linear recurrence sequences

Talks given at LSBU, November 2014

Tony Forbes

Linear recurrences

A *linear recurrence sequence* over $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ of order r is a sequence $U_0, U_1, \dots, \in \mathbb{K}$ defined by

$$U_n = c_{r-1}U_{n-1} + c_{r-2}U_{n-2} + \dots + c_0U_{n-r}, \quad (1)$$

where the $-c_i \in \mathbb{K}$ are the coefficients of the *characteristic polynomial*,

$$P(x) = x^r - c_{r-1}x^{r-1} - c_{r-2}x^{r-2} - \dots - c_1x - c_0.$$

We should really insist that $c_0 \neq 0$ because otherwise we can redefine (1) with a smaller value of r . Usually $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} (equivalently \mathbb{Q}). To complete the definition of U_n we prime the recursion with r initial values,

$$U = (U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{r-1}),$$

so that for $n \geq r$, $U_n = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1]M^{n-r+1}U$, the last element of $M^{n-r+1}U$, where M is the $r \times r$ matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \dots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{r-2} & c_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\det M = (-1)^r P(0) = (-1)^{r-1}c_0$ and that the eigenvalues of M are precisely the roots of $P(x)$ with the same multiplicities. In fact $(-1)^r P(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of M .

Suppose $P(x)$ has t *distinct* roots $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t$ and that root λ_i occurs with multiplicity t_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, so that $r = \sum_{i=1}^t t_i$. Then we can attempt to construct a closed formula of the form

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^t Q_i(n)\lambda_i^n, \quad (2)$$

where $Q_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j}x^j$ is a polynomial of degree at most $t_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Observe that U_n defined by (2) satisfies the recurrence formula (1) if $n \geq r$, for

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^r c_{r-h}U_{n-h} &= \sum_{h=1}^r c_{r-h} \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j}(n-h)^j \lambda_i^{n-h} = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j} \sum_{h=1}^r c_{r-h}(n-h)^j \lambda_i^{n-h} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j} \left(n^j \lambda_i^n - \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \right)^j (x^{n-r} P(x)) \Big|_{x=\lambda_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j} n^j \lambda_i^n = U_n \end{aligned}$$

since $d^j P(x)/dx^j = 0$ when $x = \lambda_i$ and $0 \leq j < t_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. To determine the polynomials we write $Q_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} q_{i,j}x^j$ and solve the linear system

$$\sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^{t_i-1} n^j \lambda_i^n q_{i,j} = U_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, r-1, \quad (3)$$

provided a solution exists in the event of the coefficient matrix of (3) being singular. For instance a singular coefficient matrix will occur if 0 is a repeated root of $P(x)$. Of course this won't happen if $c_0 \neq 0$.

If the roots are distinct, we say that the recurrence system is *simple* and in this case the polynomials $Q(x)$ are just constants.

Simple linear recurrences

Assume that the roots of $P(x)$ are distinct. Then M can be diagonalized:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_d \end{bmatrix} = R^{-1}MR,$$

where

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^{-(r-1)} & \lambda_2^{-(r-1)} & \dots & \lambda_r^{-(r-1)} \\ \lambda_1^{-(r-2)} & \lambda_2^{-(r-2)} & \dots & \lambda_r^{-(r-2)} \\ & & \dots & \\ \lambda_1^{-1} & \lambda_2^{-1} & \dots & \lambda_r^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $M^n = RD^nR^{-1}$. And if we start the sequence with $U = (0, 0, \dots, 1)$, it turns out that there is a very nice closed formula for the general term [2],

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\lambda_i^n}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Decision problems

Skolem's problem Given a linear recurrence sequence over \mathbb{Z} , is $U_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$? Posed by Thoralf Skolem in 1934, the problem is still open. This situation is 'faintly outrageous' (Tao) and 'a mathematical embarrassment' (Lipton).

The problem is trivial for order 1 and 'folklore' for order 2. Orders 3 and 4 were done by Vereshchagin in 1985 using results on linear logarithms by Baker and van der Poorten. In a TUCS Tech Report (2005), Havala, Harju, Hirvensalo & Karhumäaki prove 2, 3 and 4 in detail.

The ultimate positivity problem Given a linear recurrence sequence over $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, is $U_n > 0$ for all sufficiently large n ?

Order 2 over \mathbb{R} done (i.e. the ultimate positivity problem is decidable for any sequence of order 2 over \mathbb{R}) by Burke & Webb (1981); order 3 over \mathbb{R} by Nagasaka & Shiue (1990);

The positivity problem Given a linear recurrence sequence over $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, is $U_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$?

Order 2 over \mathbb{R} done by Havala, Harju & Hirvensalo (2006); order 3 over \mathbb{R} by Laohakosol & Tangsupphathawat (2009). Orders ≤ 5 for integers were done by Ouaknine & Worrell (2014), but order 6 for integers would require 'major breakthroughs in analytic number theory'. Orders ≤ 9 for simple integer sequences were done by Ouaknine & Worrell (2014).

Linear recurrences, continued

Proposition 1 *Let U_n and V_n be linear recurrence sequence of orders r and s respectively. Then their pointwise sum $U_n + V_n$ and product $U_n V_n$ are linear recurrence sequence of orders at most $r + s$ and rs respectively. Moreover, the order of the pointwise square U_n^2 is at most $r(r + 1)/2$.*

Proof This is probably obvious.

It is clear from (2) that the ultimate fate of the sequence is determined by the *dominant roots* of $P(x)$, those roots that have maximum absolute value.

A sequence is *non-degenerate* if it is not degenerate. A sequence is *degenerate* if there are two distinct roots whose quotient is a root of 1. A degenerate sequence can be partitioned into finitely many non-degenerate sequences. For example, we can partition a real sequence with roots 3, $2i$ and $-2i$ into two real sequences each with roots 9 and -4 :

$$U_n = \alpha 3^n + \beta (2i)^n + \bar{\beta} (-2i)^n; \quad U_{2n} = \alpha 9^n + 2\Re(\beta)(-4)^n, \quad U_{2n+1} = 3\alpha 9^n - 4\Im(\beta)(-4)^n.$$

Non-degeneracy implies that for any positive integer k , the number of roots of the characteristic polynomial of U_{nk} is equal to the number of roots of the characteristic polynomial of U_n . Furthermore, a simple degenerate system can be partitioned into simple non-degenerate systems. So it suffices to consider only non-degenerate linear recurrences.

So for problems concerning positivity, ultimate or otherwise of simple real linear recurrences, we can restrict ourselves to non-degenerate sequences where the dominant roots include one real positive value, and we can write (2) as

$$\frac{U_n}{\rho^n} = a + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(d_j \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\rho} \right)^n + \bar{d}_j \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_j}{\rho} \right)^n \right) + \epsilon(n),$$

where the dominant real root is ρ , the other dominant roots if any occur in conjugate pairs $\{\lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_j\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\{d_j, \bar{d}_j\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, are pairs of complex conjugate constants, a is an algebraic number, and $\epsilon(n)$ is a function that tends to zero exponentially. Note that there is no other dominant real root because otherwise it would have to be $-\rho$, and this is excluded by non-degeneracy.

Proposition 2 *Let U_n be a real linear recurrence system with no real positive dominant root. Then there are infinitely many $n \geq 0$ such that $U_n < 0$ and infinitely many $n \geq 0$ such that $U_n > 0$.*

Proof Omitted.

Theorem 1 (Skolem, Mahler, Lech, 1934-35) *Let U_n be a non-degenerate linear recurrence sequence. Then $U_n = 0$ for finitely many $n \geq 0$.*

Proof Omitted.

Proposition 3 (Mignette, 1982) *If α and β are distinct roots of a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d and height h , then*

$$|\alpha - \beta| > \frac{\sqrt{6}}{d^{(d+1)/2} h^{d-1}}.$$

Proof Omitted. Compare with $ax^2 + bx + c$: $|\alpha - \beta| = |\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}/a| \geq 1/h > \sqrt{3}/(2h)$.

Theorem 2 (Baker & Wüstholz, 1993) *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{C}$ be algebraic numbers $\neq 0, 1$, and let $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let*

$$\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + b_m \log \alpha_m, \quad \text{where } \log z = \log |z| + i \arg z, \quad \arg z \in (-\pi, \pi].$$

Let $A_i = \max(\text{height of } \alpha_i, e)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $B = \max(|b|, e)$. Let d be the degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

$$\text{If } \Lambda \neq 0, \text{ then } \log |\Lambda| > - (16md)^{2(m+2)} \log A_1 \dots \log A_m \log B.$$

Proof Omitted.

Corollary 1 (Ouaknine & Worrell, 2014) *There exists an integer $D \geq 1$ such that for any algebraic numbers $\lambda, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = |\zeta| = 1$, for all $n \geq 2$, whenever $\lambda^n \neq \zeta$ we have*

$$|\lambda^n - \zeta| > \frac{1}{n^{(||\lambda|| + ||\zeta||)^D}},$$

where $||\alpha||$ is the number of bits required to store the minimum polynomial of the algebraic number α as a list of coefficients.

Proof Omitted.

Examples

Fibonacci

Recurrence: $U_n = U_{n-1} + U_{n-2}$; $P(x) = x^2 - x - 1$; roots: $\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Initial values: 0, 1;

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n;$$

0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584, 4181, 6765, 10946, ...

Initial values: 1, 1; the original rabbit breeding problem;

$$U_n = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{10} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{10} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n;$$

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584, 4181, 6765, 10946, ...

Initial values: 2, 1; Lucas numbers;

$$U_n = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n;$$

2, 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, 76, 123, 199, 322, 521, 843, 1364, 2207, 3571, 5778, 9349, 15127, ...

Fibonacci with mortal rabbits

Recurrence: $U_n = U_{n-1} + U_{n-2} - U_{n-3}$; $P(x) = x^3 - x^2 - x + 1$; roots: 1, 1, -1.

Initial values: 1, 1, 2;

$$U_n = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{4}(-1)^n; \quad U_{2n} = U_{2n+1} = n + 1;$$

1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6, 7, 7, 8, 8, 9, 9, 10, 10, 11, 11, 12, 12, . . .

A simple, degenerate 4th order system

Recurrence: $U_n = 13U_{n-2} - 36U_{n-4}$; $P(x) = x^4 - 13x^2 + 36$; roots: 3, -3, 2, -2.

Initial values: 0, 0, 0, 1;

$$U_n = \frac{1}{30}3^n - \frac{1}{30}(-3)^n - \frac{1}{20}2^n + \frac{1}{20}(-2)^n; \quad U_{2n} = 0, \quad U_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{5}9^n - \frac{1}{5}4^n;$$

0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 13, 0, 133, 0, 1261, 0, 11605, 0, 105469, 0, 953317, 0, 8596237, 0, 77431669, 0, . . .

Initial values: 0, 0, 1, 1;

$$U_n = \frac{2}{15}3^n + \frac{1}{15}(-3)^n - \frac{3}{20}2^n - \frac{1}{20}(-2)^n; \quad U_{2n} = U_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{5}9^n - \frac{1}{5}4^n;$$

0, 0, 1, 1, 13, 13, 133, 133, 1261, 1261, 11605, 11605, 105469, 105469, 953317, 953317, . . .

Initial values: 0, 1, 1, 1;

$$U_n = \frac{1}{5}(-3)^n + \frac{3}{10}2^n - \frac{1}{2}(-2)^n; \quad U_{2n} = \frac{1}{5}9^n - \frac{1}{5}4^n, \quad U_{2n+1} = -\frac{3}{5}9^n + \frac{8}{5}4^n;$$

0, 1, 1, 1, 13, -23, 133, -335, 1261, -3527, 11605, -33791, 105469, -312311, 953317, . . .

Initial values: 1, 1, 1, 1;

$$U_n = -\frac{2}{5}3^n - \frac{1}{5}(-3)^n + \frac{6}{5}2^n + \frac{2}{5}(-2)^n; \quad U_{2n} = U_{2n+1} = -\frac{3}{5}9^n + \frac{8}{5}4^n;$$

1, 1, 1, 1, -23, -23, -335, -335, -3527, -3527, -33791, -33791, -312311, -312311, . . .

A non-simple, non-degenerate 4th order system

Recurrence: $U_n = 10U_{n-1} - 35U_{n-2} + 50U_{n-3} - 24U_{n-4}$;

$P(x) = x^4 - 6x^3 + 13x^2 - 12x + 4$; roots: 2, 2, 1, 1.

Initial values: 0, 0, 0, 1;

$$U_n = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 2\right)2^n + n + 2;$$

0, 0, 0, 1, 6, 23, 72, 201, 522, 1291, 3084, 7181, 16398, 36879, 81936, 180241, 393234, . . .

Initial values: 0, 0, 1, 1;

$$U_n = \left(\frac{-3n}{2} + 7\right)2^n - 4n - 7;$$

0, 0, 1, 1, -7, -43, -159, -483, -1319, -3371, -8239, -19507, -45111, -102459, . . .

Initial values: 1, 1, 1, 1; $U_n = 1$;

A polynomial 6th order system

Recurrence: $U_n = 6U_{n-1} - 15U_{n-2} + 20U_{n-3} - 15U_{n-4} + 6U_{n-5} - U_{n-6}$;

$P(x) = x^6 - 6x^5 + 15x^4 - 20x^3 + 15x^2 - 6x + 1$; roots: 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1.

Initial values: 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1;

$$U_n = \frac{(n-4)(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n}{120};$$

0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 6, 21, 56, 126, 252, 462, 792, 1287, 2002, 3003, 4368, 6188, 8568, 11628, 15504, . . .

Initial values: 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1;

$$U_n = -\frac{(4n-21)(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n}{120};$$

0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, -9, -49, -154, -378, -798, -1518, -2673, -4433, -7007, -10647, -15652, . . .

Initial values: 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1; $U_n = 1$;

A degenerate system with non-real roots

Recurrence: $U_n = 3U_{n-1} - 4U_{n-2} + 12U_{n-3}$; $P(x) = x^3 - 3x^2 + 4x - 12$; roots: 3, $2i$, $-2i$.

Initial values: 0, 1, 3;

$$U_n = \frac{3}{13} 3^n - \frac{3+2i}{26} (2i)^n - \frac{3-2i}{26} (-2i)^n; \quad 0, 1, 3, 5, 15, 61, 183, 485, 1455, 4621, 13863, 40565, \dots$$

Recurrence: $U_n = 5U_{n-1} + 36U_{n-2}$; $P(x) = x^2 - 5x - 36$; roots: 9, -4.

Initial values: 0, 3; $U_n = \frac{3}{13} 9^n - \frac{3}{13} (-4)^n$; 0, 3, 15, 183, 1455, 13863, 121695, . . .

Initial values: 1, 5; $U_n = \frac{9}{13} 9^n + \frac{4}{13} (-4)^n$; 1, 5, 61, 485, 4621, 40565, 369181, . . .

Repeated root 0

Just experimenting with the idea of putting $c_0 = 0$ in (1).

Recurrence: $U_n = 2U_{n-1}$; $P(x) = x^3 - 2x^2$; roots: 2, 0, 0.

Initial values: 1, 2, 4;

$$U_n = 2^n; \quad 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, \dots$$

Initial values: 1, 1, 2;

$$U_n = \frac{1}{2} 2^n + \frac{1}{2} 0^n; \quad 1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, \dots$$

Initial values: 1, 0, 0; $U_n = 0^n$; 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, . . .

Initial values: 1, 1, 1; no closed formula; 1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, . . .

Initial values: 1, 2, 5; no closed formula; 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280, . . .

References

- [1] J. Ouaknine & J. Worrell, On the Positivity Problem for Simple Linear Recurrence Sequences, 2013.
- [2] *M500* **203**, April 2005.