THEOREM OF THE DAY



The Bungers–Lehmer Theorem on Cyclotomic Coefficients *The cyclotomic polynomials, taken over all products of three distinct primes, contain arbitrarily large coefficients.*

The image on the right depicts the 105-th roots of unity, $\sqrt[105]{1}$, whose values are given by 1883 1931 $e^{\tau ik/105} = \cos \frac{\tau k}{105} + i \sin \frac{\tau k}{105}$ $(k \ge 0, \tau = 2\pi)$. Those marked with a star are *primitive* Adolph Migotti, Issai Schur, in a letter to Edmund Landau, a student of Lazarus *roots*: when k is coprime to 105, i.e. GCD(k, 105) = 1, the successive powers of $e^{\tau i k/105}$ will generate every other 105th root. The *n*-th cyclotomic polynomial * shows that, for *n* a product Fuchs, demonstrates that $\Phi_n(x)$ is the unique monic polynomial whose roots are precisely the primiof sufficiently many distinct no integer *n* with fewer than tive *n*-th roots of unity. Now $105 = 3 \times 5 \times 7$ and there are 48 integers primes, the coefficients of $\Phi_n(x)$ three distinct prime factors may less than 105 and coprime to 105 (the sequence 1, 2, 4, 8, 11, ..., 104, anticlockwise from far right on the horizontal axis). We denote this $\frac{1}{2}$ have coefficients in $\Phi_n(x)$ outside may become arbitrarily large in by $\varphi(105) = 48$, φ being the Euler totient function. Now we have the set $\{-1, 1, 0\}$. Moreover, three absolute value. (In 1987, his proof $\Phi_{105}(x) = (x - e^{\tau i/105})(x - e^{2\tau i/105})(x - e^{4\tau i/105})\cdots(x - e^{104\tau i/105});$ reis shown by Jiro Susuki to yield any primes do not necessarily guarantee markably the cyclotomic polynomials, defined in this way, always the converse, e.g. all coefficients of integer as a coefficient of some cycexpand to give a polynomial of degree $\varphi(n)$ all of whose coefficients are integers! Indeed, for our example we get: $\Phi_{3\times7\times11}(x)$ are ≤ 1 in absolute value. lotomic polynomial). $\Phi_{105}(x) = 1 + x + x^2 - x^5 - x^6 - 2x^7 - x^8 - x^9 + x^{12} + x^{13} + x^{14}$ 1934 1936 $+x^{15} + x^{16} + x^{17} - x^{20} - x^{22} - x^{24} - x^{26} - x^{28}$ Rolf Bungers proves that, provided Emma Lehmer gives a proof of Bun- $+x^{31} + x^{32} + x^{33} + x^{34} + x^{35} + x^{36} - x^{39} - x^{40}$ gers' result which removes the rethere is an infinitude of twin primes, $-2x^{41} - x^{42} - x^{43} + x^{46} + x^{47} + x^{48}$. taking products of just three distliance on there being an infinitude An equivalent definition of $\Phi_n(x)$ sheds light on this phenomenon: inct primes is sufficient to proof twin primes. Paul Erdős sub- $\Phi_n(x) = \prod \left(1 - x^{n/d}\right)^{\mu(d)},$ duce cyclotomic polynomials sequently observes that her cothe product running over all divisors d of n, with μ being the Möbius containing coefficients of efficients grow as $\sqrt[3]{n}$ and function: k is a product of an odd number of distint primes arbitrarily large absolute then improves this to n^k $\mu(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k \text{ is 1 or a product of an even number of distint primes} \\ 0 & k \text{ is not square-free} \end{cases}$ for any integer k. value. Moral: cyclotomic coefficients (giving factors in the product of negative index, which must somehow conspire to canget big fast! 🔹 🖈 cel). The coefficient of -2 appearing in the expansion of $\Phi_{105}(x)$ is notable: it marks the first occurrence of a cyclotomic polynomial coefficient which lies outside the set $\{-1, 1, 0\}$.

Web link: www.maths.lancs.ac.uk/~jameson/cyp.pdf. Lehmer's original paper is available at www.projecteuclid.org/euclid.bams/1183498920. Further reading: *Polynomials* by Victor Prasolov, Springer, 2nd printing, 2009, chapter 3.