## **THEOREM OF THE DAY**



**Fermat's Two-Squares Theorem** An odd prime number p may be expressed as a sum of two squares if



**Lagrange's Lemma :** -1 *is a quadratic residue modulo p if and only if*  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . E.g.  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{13}$  is solved by  $r = \pm 5$ , since  $(\pm 5)^2 = -1 + 2 \times 13$ . But  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$  has no solutions. This confirms almost directly that primes of the form 4n + 3 cannot be written as a sum of two squares. For if  $p = a^2 + b^2$  then p can divide neither a nor b, otherwise it will divide both (if it divides a then it must also divide  $b^2$  and hence b) implying that  $p^2$  divides  $a^2 + b^2 = p$  which is impossible. Then gcd(a, p) = 1 and consequently  $aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for some a'. Multiplying  $a^2 + b^2 - p = 0$  by  $(a')^2$  gives  $(aa')^2 + (ba')^2 - p(a')^2 = 0 \equiv 1 + (ba')^2 - 0 \pmod{p}$  : we discover that -1 is a quadratic residue mod p and Lagrange's Lemma says that p has remainder 1 mod 4.

So the 'only if' part of the theorem is established. Now we must produce a two-squares representation when  $p = 5, 13, 17, 29, 37, \ldots$  The theory of integer lattices supplies a beautiful general purpose construction. Use Lagrange's Lemma again to take a positive integer *r* satisfying  $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and define the integer lattice

$$\left\{Bx, B = \left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0\\r & p\end{array}\right) \middle| x = \left(\begin{array}{c}x_1\\x_2\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\right\}.$$

On the left this lattice is depicted for p = 13, with r = 5: it consists of all integer points in two dimensions which are integer-weighted sums of the two basis vectors (1, 5) and (0, 13). A corollary of Minkowski's Convex Body Theorem says that there is some vector in this lattice whose Euclidean length is strictly less than  $\sqrt{2 \det(B)}$ . In our construction this gives

$$|Bx| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + (rx_1 + px_2)^2} < \sqrt{2 \det(B)} = \sqrt{2p}.$$

Squaring, expanding out and factorising:

$$x_1^2 + (rx_1 + px_2)^2 = (px_2^2 + 2rx_1x_2)p + x_1^2(r^2 + 1) < 2p.$$

Now  $r^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  by our choice of r, so  $(px_2^2 + 2rx_1x_2)p + x_1^2(r^2 + 1)$ is a nonzero multiple of p which is less than 2p, and therefore is exactly p. Thus if  $a = x_1$  and  $b = rx_1 + px_2$  then  $a^2 + b^2 = p$ . In our illustration, left,  $x_1 = 3, x_2 = -1$  and  $a^2 = 9, b^2 = (5 \times 3 - 1 \times 13)^2 = 4$ , with  $a^2 + b^2 = 13$ .

This theorem was discovered by Fermat in 1640 and by Albert Girard in 1632, the year of his death. The first published proof is due to Euler in 1754; that given here is an example of Hermann Minkowski's 'Geometry of Numbers', developed in the 1890s.

Web link: cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/wi10/cse206a/lec1.pdf; Don Zagier's famous 'one-sentence' proof: math.berkeley.edu/~mcivor/math115su12/schedule.html, Lec. 6. Further reading: From Fermat to Minkowski: Lectures on the Theory of Numbers and its Historical Development by Winfried Scharlau and Hans Opolka, Springer, 2010.