## THEOREM OF THE DAY

## Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem) If $p$ is a prime number, then

$$
a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
$$

for any positive integer a not divisible by $p$.

## Proof:

If all factors are taken modulo $p$ then the product $a \times 2 a \times$ $\ldots \times(p-1) a$ is identical to $1 \times 2 \times \ldots \times(p-1)$ because if $k a=k^{\prime} a(\bmod p)$, for some multiples $k<k^{\prime}<p$, then $p$ divides $a\left(k^{\prime}-k\right)$ and therefore divides one of $a$ and ( $k^{\prime}-k$ ). But $p$ does not divide $a$, by hypothesis and $k^{\prime}-k<p$. Therefore $a^{p-1} \times(p-1)!=(p-1)$ ! $(\bmod p)$ so $a^{p-1}=1(\bmod p)$.



Suppose $p=5$. We can imagine a row of $a$ copies of an $a \times a \times a$ Rubik's cube (let us suppose, although this is not how Rubik created his cube, that each is made up of $a^{3}$ little solid cubes, so that is $a^{4}$ little cubes in all.) Take the little cubes 5 at a time. For three standard $3 \times 3$ cubes, shown here, we will eventually be left with precisely one little cube remaining. Exactly the same will be true for a pair of $2 \times 2$ 'pocket cubes' or four of the $4 \times 4$ 'Rubik's revenge' cubes. The 'Professor's cube', having $a=5$, fails the hypothesis of the theorem and gives remainder zero.
The converse of this theorem, that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, for any $a$ not divisible by $p$, implies that $p$ is prime, does not hold. The smallest counterexample has the non-prime 561 satisfying $a^{560} \equiv 1(\bmod 561)$. However, a more elaborate test is conjectured to work both ways: remainders add,
so the Little Theorem tells us that, modulo $p, 1^{p-1}+2^{p-1}+\ldots+(p-1)^{p-1} \equiv \overbrace{1+1+\ldots+1}=p-1$. The 1950 conjecture of the Italian mathematician Giuseppe Giuga proposes that this only happens for prime numbers: a positive integer $n$ is a prime number if and only if $1^{n-1}+2^{n-1}+\ldots+(n-1)^{n-1} \equiv n-1(\bmod n)$. Jonathan Borwein has shown that any counterexample must have over 4771 prime factors and over 19908 digits!
Fermat announced this result in 1640 , in a letter to a fellow civil servant Frénicle de Bessy. As with his 'Last Theorem' he claimed that he had a proof but that it was too long to supply. In this case, however, the challenge was more tractable: Leonhard Euler supplied a proof almost 100 years later which, as a matter of fact, echoed one in an unpublished manuscript of Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibniz, dating from around 1680.

Web link: artofproblemsolving.com/wiki/index.php?title=Fermat's_Little_Theorem. The cube images are from: www.ws.binghamton.edu/fridrich/.

